

Leg. Bowie's Copy
Please return

No Return

SATELLITES

VIPRA NEWS

SPACE TRAVEL

EDITED BY
DAVID WIGHTMAN

FIRST
EDITOR:
E. BIDDLE

Vol. 4, No.3

December, 1957

Stubbornness plus Complacency equals Failure	42
A Candid Opinion	44
Nicap Report	46
Controversial Topic - Controversial Figure .. .	48
The Carpet Baggers and their False Reasoning .	49
Psychic News gives The Nuts a Drubbing	50
Criticism of 'A New Key to the U.F.O's Appearances' by A.R. Brimer	51
A Letter to Desmond Leslie	53
Davidson and Moseley under Fire	55
More Activity in Scotland	57
The Daily Telegraph is Saucer Minded	59
Books of Interest	60

PUBLISHED EVERY SECOND MONTH - POST FREE 2/- OR 35c
MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS LTD., 31 KINGS ROAD, LONDON, S.W.3
SUBSCRIPTION RATE (6 ISSUES) 11/- OR \$1.50

FOR RATES IN WEST EUROPEAN CURRENCIES APPLY TO THE PUBLISHERS

A MERRY XMAS AND A HAPPY NEW YEAR TO ALL OUR READERS

STUBBORNNESS plus COMPLACENCY
equals FAILURE

Why have the Russians become the first to establish artificial satellites in an orbit around the Earth? The answer to this question is I think contained in the heading to this article.

The respective responsible agencies in this country and America have been stubborn on at least two accounts.

- 1) To accept the evidence showing that Russia was racing ahead in many scientific fields, and not all of them with military applications.
- 2) To accept the evidence which shows beyond all doubt the occasional presence of intelligently controlled objects not the results of engineering processes on this planet. Also the very important fact that the craft are powered by some revolutionary method.

In this country the establishments responsible for the development of guided missiles are indirectly controlled by the government, and the government has been complacent for far too long. In America the position is slightly different - several large firms are in a position to be able to carry out a certain amount of private research, but even they can only get so far before they too become bogged by government restrictions and lack of financial resources. The result, once more ...complacency and failure.

If the people responsible did not know that the Russians had something new in rocket fuel, they certainly should have done so the day Sputnik I was launched. How have the Russians got ahead in the development of rocket fuels? They have indulged in what is popularly called "Leapfrogging" in scientific circles. They wasted no time in developing fuels from the beginning, but started where the Americans left off. Why did America cease work on fuels? They probably thought they had spent enough time and money on that particular field

they were wrong, they had spent TOO long on it, and what is more, their fuels were not a secret.

Little is known even now about the fuel and oxidant the Russians used, but photographs of their launching ramp show great advantages over the type used by the United States.

One of the fields in which Russia ^{has} made great headway is in low temperature physics, and it may well be that the development of their new fuels have resulted from their studies in this field. If this is so, they will have at the same time solved, or done away with, the problem of finding suitable alloys to withstand the tremendous heat developed in "conventional" rocket motors.

In the October issue of URANUS appeared information showing where data on proposed new propulsion methods could be obtained. Some of the books and other sources are not new, and where we have been slow to take note of the material therein, it would appear that someone else has not been so slow. It has been repeatedly said that space travel will never be achieved by rockets, and more and more scientists are beginning to realise this fact.

Many of you will have had ideas of your own as to possible methods of propulsion employed by the UFO's; obviously it is not the ejection of a reaction mass. What could it be then? Anti - gravity? Electro - gravitics? Electro - magnetism? Artificial - gravity? The employment of "negative matter"? Lt Plantier's theory? etc, etc.

Someone on the Earth is taking notice of the UFO's, for there is evidence of fairly large experiments being carried out involving electro-magnetism. Something of this nature could be the answer to the propulsion employed by the Saucers AND IT COULD BE THE MEANS BY WHICH WE COULD CATCH UP AND PASS THE RUSSIANS IN THE FIELD OF OUTER SPACE INVESTIGATION AND TRAVEL.

Which one of you would like to have a hand in relegating the Russian scientists to be "wooden spoonists" in the race into space? This country, the world, needs

new ideas ... give us your ideas as to how we can beat the Russians to the Moon, even though we have been left at the post.

A CANDID OPINION

Taking it by and large we haven't had very many persons come forward during the Flying Saucer decade to claim they know where the Saucers come from and when they will arrive, I am of course excluding the "Celestial carpet baggers". Dr. Meade Layne on behalf of the "Borderland Science research Associates" has informed us regularly that they come from "Etheria" but there is seemingly no pattern to their coming. In the August issue of "URANUS" appeared an article transcribed from "Infinity Newsletter"; this offered a key to the U.F.O.'s appearances. However, the author of the article is taken to task by A.R. Brimer in this very issue.

Lonzo Dove has long held that the Saucers come from Mars and he has many charts of arrival dates. Unlike many researchers he has not sought to press his opinions or findings on to the public; rather has he circulated the data to the comparatively few who he thought would make use of it, or at least have the patience to conduct their own research into the accuracy or inaccuracy of his information, - hence his work is little known, for he has never condescended to put his findings down in article form. His work in connection with the Adamski hoax will, however, be well remembered; in this he has shown himself to be a most thorough and painstaking researcher.

During his letter concerning an article by Dr. Leon Davidson which appeared in "Saucer News" -- Sept. - Oct. - - - Dove has something very interesting to say.

"The unprecedented high peak of activity in July of 1952 and into August fulfilled my charted Emergency and Freight arrivals from Mars to Earth. Shortly before the event I submitted a scientific prediction of the time and place of that Saucer peak, in a memo to

the Air Force Project Saucer or A.T.I.C. at Dayton, and to Frank Edwards and Drew Pearson in Washington; I wrote to Project Saucer then directed by Ruppelt, on June 17th 1952. The memo was held on Ruppelt's desk until AFTER the predicted Saucer peak, and then acknowledged to me on Sept 11th, 1952. I sent a carbon copy to Pearson which stated, 'In fulfillment of my advance predictions placed in the hands of witnesses, I believe you will have an opportunity on June 27th - 30th, and August 15 - 16th, to observe a number of disk-like structures or Flying Saucers'. To Pearson on June 13th, 1952 I wrote, 'Here ~~are~~ ^{are} are the near future Saucer, dates scheduled in my charts: June 15th, 27th - 30th, ~~and~~ ^{and} August 15th - 16th; 1952; --heavy freight shipment from Mars. The new-coming Saucers will strike out of space and come down for a close look over Northern Virginia and the Eastern U.S. general area."

"History has confirmed the accuracy of my predictions; my charts were made in 1950 and my prediction formula was simple. Just count 780 days or multiples thereof from any spectacular Saucer sighting or peak. This 780 days is the Mars - Earth Interplanetary Expedition Cycle geared to the Planetary Synodic Period".

In a recent letter to your editor, Lonzo Dove had this to say; "They come from Mars, just as astronomically certain as the tides are caused by the Moon approach over a portion of the Earth. The large craft are occupied by living creatures by no means exactly like humans. The small objects, often seen linked with a larger craft, and often seen as globular, are not piloted, but are remote controlled seeing and feeling devices for hazardous missions operated from a large craft at a safe distance above the Earth. The rare "cigar" ship of great size is a special type which travels from Mars to Earth and on to Venus and back at the proper astronautic times. This was the famous Chiles Whitted case, the Gaillac case and the April 1878 cases, always five or six months after the Mars approach to Earth and especially when Venus approaches Earth about the same time which occurs on cycles of 2,335 - 40 days. The living creatures I think are about 3ft high, rugged and agile, stereoscopic vision, dark colour that absorbs

red and infra-red, have a base on the Moon where caverns have water like on Mars, heavy Hydrogen water of which their living cells are composed, hence cannot use food of Earth, nor water of Earth. The Moon is a world-fragment older than Mars, and once orbited where the asteroids now revolve".

Well - there you have it, a candid opinion.

NICAP REPORT

(Skip)

The second issue of the "U.F.O. Investigator" is now out and has consolidated its position as the leading U.F.O. publication. The following are extracts from the most important items.

"Lear, Flight Electronics Expert Calls UFO's Robot Devices".

Lear Inc, a multi-million dollar firm manufacturing a/c auto-pilots etc, has important Gov' contracts, and as ch/man of the board Mr. Lear naturally has top level contacts in the Defence Dept.; because of this and his knowledge of radio and electronic devices his latest statement should be evaluated carefully.

In a surprising reversal of opinion, William P. Lear recently stated that the F.S's do not carry creatures from other worlds. It was in Feb of 1955 - Lear publicly stated his belief that UFO's came from outer space and were piloted by beings of superior intelligence.

On the basis of his latest statement, Lear's apparent retreat from the idea of intelligent beings piloting the UFO's becomes most puzzling. Long before his all inclusive statement it had already been suggested that some of the UFO's were remote controlled devices; *this* theory has a sound foundation.

If Lear's new theory is correct, it would radically change the whole picture, for if only unmanned machines were used, it would obviously eliminate the question of contacts. In the absence of supporting evidence, Lear's statement will have to be listed as merely a suggestion, *But* NICAP will make every effort to learn

what led to this apparent change of opinion.

"Russia Claims Saucers Never Seen Over Her Territory".

In a new statement on UFO's Moscow radio has declared that F.S's have never been sighted over Russian territory. The broadcast was beamed to Latin America. The claim was made by Victor Tomarev, scientific worker at the Moscow planetarium. This is a complete contradiction of a previous statement by David Zaslavsky who insisted that UFO's were American "Pirate planes".

The U.S.A. Air Technical Intelligence Centre (ATIC) has several reports of sightings over Russia. This fact was revealed in 1953 before files were closed to the public.

URANUS has affirmed full co-operation with NICAP and in the latest issue URANUS policy is stated rather fully.

NICAP are carrying out their intention of investigating contact stories and first results of one investigation appear in the second issue. The case under review is one in which some evidence pointed to the presence of a "Monster" but this theory is discarded by NICAP. The case is a new one, having occurred on July 30th, 1957, this at a place called Galt, Ontario, Canada.

The "Toronto Telegram" investigated the incident and suggested a hoax. Five NICAP investigators visited the spot and made a detailed examination. Comment: "If this report proves a hoax, then it was planned well enough to deceive five careful investigators, two of whom were highly sceptical at first."

The incident involved a 15 year old boy who saw an object with a domed top hover close to the ground for 45 minutes before flying off at a speed faster than a jet plane. The boy also described portholes but after questioning it is thought they may have been some kind of exhausts. The object left indentations in the ground charred patches and some broken branches on a bush.

A full evaluation will be released shortly.

CONTROVERSIAL TOPIC - CONTROVERSIAL FIGURE
--

H.P. Wilkins, writing in Flying Saucer Review, opened up on UFO's just recently. After admitting that a larger number of scientists now believe in the existence of F.S.'s, Dr. Wilkins goes a step further by also admitting that there is nothing impossible or even improbable, in other celestial bodies being inhabited by intelligent creatures. "Neither is there anything impossible or absurd in concluding that such creatures have reached such an advanced stage of development as to devise space ships and to actually visit the Earth".

Dr. Wilkins carries on to say however that ".... there remains a small element, or a feature, which MIGHT possibly be explained on other grounds. More than this it is unreasonable to expect a scientific man to go. He lacks PROOF and rightly demands it, or at least such body of evidence that little doubt can remain".

The late Prof. A.M. Low is quoted, "There is undoubtedly life on Mars - it is very unlikely that Martian languages, if any, be anything like ours or anything we could understand. I fail to see the necessity for this; what one sentient race can do another can also accomplish. For those who are interested in a possible solution of the Martian language question I recommend a story called "Omnilingual" by H. Beam Piper in a recent issue of A.S.F., which gave what is to my mind an entirely reasonable means of breaking down an unknown speech.

One of our contemporaries stated the other day that there were Martians among us, I would not know, not having met any, but should not be at all surprised to hear that they are mostly engaged in editing F.S. magazines.

*Retounding
Science
Fiction?*

... ..

... ..

...

THE CARPET BAGGERS AND THEIR FALSE REASONING
--

Extract from an article by Justin Case. (Saucer News). "Why are they here?". The first and most popular reason given by Saucer writers, especially in 'contact' stories, is that the visitors have come to help us solve all our problems and that they ask nothing in return. This is a beautiful thought and it appeals to a world weary of wars, both hot and cold, and sorely beset on all sides by economic and social problems. But mankind's basic problems from which most other problems stem are increasing population and inadequate food supply. The simple ultimate solution is birth control, but its application and enforcement are much less practical in our time than is limitation of armaments. It is difficult to see how our visitors could help us in this. It is certain that whatever solution they offered and help they gave, they would not please everybody, not even a majority. Many of us would object strongly, and others would not appreciate the help, for ingratitude has been typical of Mankind since Biblical times. The net result would be to make matters worse than before, our own experience should show us that. We as a nation have helped other nations, only to find ourselves disliked for having done so. Often the receiving nations have insisted on accepting our aid only on their own terms. So, if our visitors are intelligent, they will not offer to help us,—they will leave us alone to work out our own solutions, however painful that may be".

A second reason given by Saucer writers is that our visitors want to prevent our self destruction by nuclear bombs. People who offer this reason have little knowledge of science, geography or nuclear weapons. Our planet has a volume of approximately 250 billion cubic miles, and a population of close to three billion people. Mighty though the present and future nuclear bombs may be, their effects are puny as compared to thunderstorms, hurricanes, volcanic eruptions and earthquakes. The Earth has withstood such catastrophes in the past and will continue to do so in the future, so it has little to fear from man made bombs.

The devastations wrought to our population by famines, plagues, droughts and climatic changes have been far greater proportionally, in the past, than any devastation by nuclear bombs in the future. It is not man who will ultimately destroy mankind. It is much more likely to be nature, beyond the control of man. Despite the exaggerated cries of the alarmists, neither mankind nor our planet is in danger from destruction by nuclear bombs. So our visitors have no reason to worry what we might do to ourselves. Furthermore, they would have no interest in preserving our race except as a scientific curiosity, much the same as we are seeking to preserve the whooping crane from extinction on our planet.

It has been suggested that the destruction of our planet would upset the delicate cosmic balance, and result in some sort of harm to our visitors, and that they are here to prevent this. This claim has little to support it. The Earth's mass forms only one part in 333,802 of the total mass of our solar system. This is so insignificant that its loss would scarcely cause a ripple in the solar system. An explosion of our planet would hardly effect the climate of Mars, since Mars is never in the shadow of the Earth because their orbits are not in the same plane; therefore there is little to show that destruction of our planet would affect anyone but ourselves".

PSYCHIC NEWS GIVES THE NUTS A DRUBBING

It is good to see the world's largest spiritualist newspaper handing out justice to a branch of cultism which has wrought untold damage to two subjects fighting for wider recognition. The subjects of Ufology and Spiritualism may well be related. Frankly, this writer is not qualified to give an opinion, but one thing is clear - the fakery being indulged in by certain individuals with an eye on publicity has been allowed to run far too long.

A predominant feature of the cultist's programme

is their sighting predictions. These have the unoriginal property of having been made previously and remaining unfulfilled. The editor of "Psychic News" adopted a novel approach to the problem, and let it be said, a more effective article against the stuff and nonsense of the many cultists has rarely been seen. One reflects with regret that no matter how many of these cold logical anti-nut articles are given prominence, the carpet baggers still command attention from their hard core of devotees and appear to go from strength to strength. It is noted with alarm that one particular nut is apparently gaining both financially and popularity(?) - his magazine now appears in print and the list of contributors is growing. The editor of "Psychic News" offers the only solution, suggesting that the English "ambassador" should be "taken for a ride". There can be little doubt the spiritual movement, the Saucer world, and the country would be a whole lot better off if this could be arranged.

I.C. Hope.

(How about it Orthon, Ramu, Firkon? The writer is apparently referring to a front page article which appeared in "Psychic News" for October 26th. Ed.)

CRITICISM OF 'A NEW KEY TO THE U.F.O's APPEARANCES'
(Uranus, August 1957)
by A.R. Brimer

Anything in the nature of a proposed "Key" to the appearances of the Flying Saucers is worthy of close attention and so I decided to do a little checking up on the information contained in the article which appeared in the August "URANUS".

The use of "EMF" for "Earth Magnetic Field" is somewhat confusing, as on this side of the Atlantic, at least, it usually means "Electromotive Force".

It occurred to me that the theory is a little too "slick" - too sweeping altogether, it is rather like putting the "cart before the horse" in a way, for lets face it, we have no real grounds for assuming that UFO's are motivated by Electro Magnetic drives.

Now let us examine a little more closely the sighting theories in the article. If the theory is correct, we should have some definite co-ordinating points, - are there any? Firstly, the assumed angle of 40 deg. This, at first sight appears to conform to known facts, but as regards a magnetic equator set up by the SUN's magnetism, I account it fundamentally different to the theory. If the theory is correct, how does one account for the lines of equal magnetic declination, and similarly for the lines of equal magnetic dip? Charts of these two features are quite common and many readers will recall how they look with the lines drawn over the Earth's surface. As the Earth itself has a large magnetic field, how does the theory work here? I was taught that the Earth's action on (say) a compass needle is not attractive but merely directive. This is due to the size of the planet in relation to any artificial magnet, so that we may consider that the attractive force exerted by the North Magnetic Pole of the Earth upon the North-seeking pole of the needle is exactly equal and opposite to the repulsive force exerted on the South-seeking pole; and vice versa exerted on the South-seeking pole of the needle is exactly equal.

The total effect of these forces upon the poles of the needle is therefore equivalent to that which would be produced by two forces equal in magnitude and opposite in direction, a couple in fact - one acting toward the NMP of the Earth and the other toward the SMP, thus the needle is directed into the magnetic dip, the vertical plane through the axis of the needle being the magnetic meridian.

When a magnetic equator is spoken of, this means I take it, the line of zero magnetic dip, the very weakest point of the magnetic field, surely. As the force between two magnetic poles is directly proportional to the product of their strengths and is inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them viz; $F = \frac{m \times m'}{d^2}$

Where m and m' = the respective strengths of the poles
 d^2 = distance squared.

Depending on whether the poles of the Earth and the Sun are placed similarly, or dissimilarly, a different arrangement of the lines of induction will result. See any elementary textbook for diagrams of lines of force—more correctly, lines of induction, for proof of this. Actually, speaking from memory, the diagram relating to two magnetic devices acting upon the other's field with dissimilar poles adjacent produces a more localised field at the "equator" (for the want of a better term) than an arrangement with similar poles adjacent. For the life of me I cannot see what the Sun has to do with it all, apart from producing some erratics in the lines of induction. I fail to see how a propulsive force can be generated by inference.

Not signed (Probably by "Your Editor")

A LETTER TO DESMOND LESLIE

Dear Mr. Leslie,

Thank you very much for your letter of the 23rd Jan, the reason I have delayed my reply is because I wanted H. Hill to have a chance to read it and I didn't have a chance to see him as early as I expected. I have been doing more careful examination of the photographs. A friend of mine has a very much enlarged reproduction of the particular picture all the bother has been about and that point I mentioned in my last letter about the foremost "Ball" having its vertical axis out of line with that of the saucer is even more evident; can you offer an explanation for this? I think I told you about taking a series of lectures at the Manchester University? I have managed to get one of the physic lecturers interested and he has borrowed a number of my duplicate magazines. He has offered to help me ~~about~~ over that matter of a neutral authority examining the evidence. They have quite a large optical department at the University with all the possible facilities available so a conclusive decision should be forthcoming from there. It only remains for me to get hold of prints from original negatives so I shall write to Detwiller forthwith I daresay Carlsbad, California

would find him? I have got just one of the Menger photographs, apart from those contained in Moseley's latest issue of "Saucer News". I have already taken the liberty of showing this to an optician and photographer who are both prolific in their condemnation. Indeed it does seem to me, inexperienced as I am concerning photography, the thing looks full of "brush marks". Several points are apparent: If the picture was only taken from a distance of six yards the distinction is very poor indeed and the streakiness of the image is very difficult to explain. There is even more distortion than contained in any of Adamski's pictures of "Scout craft". The shape is definitely not similar, the dome and lower flange being the easiest discernible in this respect. One side of the dome is much higher than the other and distortion also appears around the portholes. As this picture was said to have been taken at night, heat distortion would hardly be a suitable explanation would it? Perhaps by now you have more definite information on the Menger claims? It is surely a fact that radiation does not distort an image but covers the negative and print with spots or simply fogs out the image all together.

H. Hill has asked for more time to consider your explanation offered for the dark edges on the Adamski plates. His main point was that the edges (the dark parts) do not form parts of a circle and here I agree with him for we have carried out checks together on this. This being so I hardly see your explanation being valid. Let me add that we have taken into account the fact that the edges of the tube cannot be in sharp focus. More now about that saucer crossing the surface of the moon. I confess I was a little surprised at your comments about Moore. My surprise arises from the fact that Moore, being a professional astronomer, should make critical comment on a photograph without first checking relative data. H. Hill remarked straight away, after me telling him of your comment, that he had checked the libration period long ago for he too had noticed almost at once that Grimaldy could only be seen in that position at a

period of maximum libration. Surely one would expect a person like Moore to notice such a point? I notice you make no comment on the observation about the saucer being actually inverted in the atmosphere.

DAVIDSON AND MOSELEY UNDER FIRE

Old timers in Ufology will recall the days when James W. Moseley (of "Saucer News") supported the interplanetary space-craft answer to the Saucer mystery - then quite suddenly switched sides and put forward the opinion that the majority of UFO's were made on Earth. Over a period of two years in correspondence with James Moseley, your editor has raised every possible objection to his theory being correct.

However, during the last month or so two major articles have appeared in "Saucer News". One, by the editor himself, refers to the "Organisation", a governmental department which uses saucers as a means of mopping up harmful radiations from atomic falls-out, while the other, by Davidson, discusses "Department YZA" which is concerned with Psychological Warfare against the Soviet Bloc.

But now Moseley has published articles by Lorenzo Dove, well known to readers of URANUS, and Richard Hall - editor of a new US magazine "Satellite", both of which severely attack the ideas of Moseley and Davidson. By publishing these we feel that Moseley has vindicated his editorial integrity to the full.

In Dove's article it is made very obvious for those who didn't already know that contrary to Davidson's contention, Saucers were seen and discussed long before 1947 and a very interesting tit-bit of information refers to the "Big flap" in July, 1952.

"I beg to suggest reconsidering two of Dr. Davidson's fundamental assumptions". "First, the ability of the Air Force to make advance predictions of future Saucer flurries like the case of June-July 1952 mentioned in the article, does not have to mean that the U.S. military performed the fulfilling with its own

Saucers. I myself gave that very prediction at that very time to the very Air Force being discussed, and sent a carbon copy with additional information to Drew Pearson and Frank Edwards in Washington. This is proven by the following extracts from letters sent to Pearson who never published the knowledge. It is not hard to see through the hints that Ruppelt got that particular prediction from Pearson who was then swapping tips with Frank Edwards. So now Mr. Moseley, if you cover up this uncovering of facts we may infer that the rumour is true about you being a man who is trying to cover up the Air Force knowledge that the Saucers are really from Mars."

(Note: The letters Dove mentions appear under "A Candid Opinion")

"History has confirmed the accuracy of my predictions and the formula is simple: just count 780 days or multiples thereof from any spectacular Saucer sighting or peak. This 780 days is the Mars - Earth Interplanetary Expedition Cycle geared to the Planetary synodic period".

"Even if a Flying Saucer is never built on Earth they will still be seen at their scheduled times as in the past".

Richard Hall's article is long and interesting but we regret that we must leave it unquoted for the present.

On this side of the Herring Pond we are at a disadvantage in these polemics, in that if the United States have such secret organisations as suggested by Moseley or Davidson, they are unlikely to admit it, while if they have not, they are even more unlikely to say so, even if on the basis that a fact is something that has been officially denied three times.

Whether Moseley is right or wrong, his earth-made theory has at least the advantage that it involves neither "Masters", nor "Guardians", nor even "Red Indian Chiefs", and seems to leave the Ambassador of Venus rather stranded.

(N.B. copies of the relevant issue of Saucer News can be obtained from Markham House)

MORE ACTIVITY IN SCOTLAND

The Saucers must like Scotland, at least they seem to have a habit of coming low over that country. Remember the Brazier incident in Oct. of 1955? 70 ft in diameter, 50 ft from the ground. Now we have a 20 to 30 ft diameter "spinning top" which followed a truck full of farmworkers near Edinburgh. Women in the truck screamed with fright, one tried to throw herself from the vehicle. The object was described as glowing brightly on top and appearing silvery underneath. As it moved it was spinning "like a top". Whilst following the truck it was only 60 ft off the ground.

A widespread police investigation is in progress, and RAF officials have discounted the conventional a/c explanation. The witnesses have been questioned separately and the police are impressed by the fact that all tell the same story, all the details match. Witnesses in different districts have given similar descriptions from different viewpoints. Your editor is following the case up.

There is also some evidence that UFO's have been interested in Sputnik II.

In general things appear to have livened up all over the world. There is further activity in Brazil and America. More eyes than ever before are turned spaceward and it is likely the numbers of Saucer sightings will increase.

?!

AN OBITUARY

?!

Recently 20 letters worded thus were posted from somewhere in Mexico.

Dear.....

Do you remember - were you awakened at approximately 5.15 Eastern Standard Time this morning?

Yours sincerely,
(Signed) George Adamski.

At least three people who knew each other personally were recipients of a copy of the above quoted letter. One of the three was Dr. A.G. Dittmar, Director of the "Tape Recorded U.F.O. Information Service". Some time later Dr. Dittmar received another letter from G.A. asking him (Dittmar) to be at a lecture Adamski was giving in Detroit, this to impart to Dr. Dittmar personally some information which couldn't be trusted to the mail or even a recording. Dr. Dittmar made the trip to Detroit and had his private interview with Adamski but received no new information whatsoever, certainly nothing so secret as the letter had led him to believe. When confronted with the "Do you remember" letter, Adamski replied, "Why, I sent out 20 of those letters and you are the first who didn't know what it meant". Dr. Dittmar who once looked favourably upon the Adamski accounts replied that he had an idea of what the letter meant but he would like to hear G.A. tell him in his own words. Adamski went on quite calmly to tell Dr. Dittmar that on that date he was in a Flying Saucer having taken off from somewhere in Mexico, they hovered over each of the addresses where one of the letters had been directed and a beam was projected on the person concerned, their reactions being recorded on a kind of TV screen inside the saucer.

Not unnaturally Dr. Dittmar was anxious to know what his reactions had been. "Oh, you just turned over, rubbed your eyes and went back to sleep", said George. Henri Henrikson was a recipient of another of the letters and Dr. Dittmar asked what his reactions had been. Mr. Henrikson, it seems, awakened up completely and sat up in bed, then he dangled his legs over the side of the bed apparently feeling puzzled before going back to sleep. A woman in Pennsylvania was the other person whom Dr. Dittmar was personally acquainted with and her reactions according to Adamski had been the most decisive. She had awakened up, got out of bed and went to waken her small daughter also, together the two of them went outside to have a good look round at the sky. Adamski didn't say whether or not they saw anything.

Now if these stories had been confirmed, Adamski's stock would have rocketed from its then low level to an all time high. Instead the stories have been checked and found to be completely untrue. Dr. Dittmar of course may well have done exactly what Adamski said he did and not be able to remember it but Henri Henrikson we find could not possibly have done what Adamski related. The reason was quite simple: The Henrikson's bedroom was being redecorated at the time and the two beds had been pushed against one wall, Henri's being the innermost one so he could not possibly have dangled his legs. Mrs. X most adamantly denies having wakened up at all that morning, let alone wakening her small daughter to go outside to have a look round the sky.

Readers may remember some time back (Dec. 1956 Uranus) mention of a tape recorded questionnaire being forwarded to Adamski. The recording was done by Mr. Harold Hill, a well known amateur astronomer, and your editor. The tape was sent just over twelve months ago and by the same mail as the forgoing information from Dr. Dittmar came news of Adamski's answer to that tape. The best Adamski can do is to say words to the effect that he does not answer his critics. He, George Adamski is telling the truth and in time his critics will have all they have said boomerang.

A few million typewriter keys have been tapped to the tune of George Adamski but we reckon only three more are needed and here they are.

R.I.P.

THE DAILY TELEGRAPH IS SAUCER MINDED

It has already been mentioned that sightings concerning cigar shaped or carrier craft (?) seem to be on the increase and with this fact we are reminded that the Saucers have not yet left for home.

If only numbers of unexplained sightings were available instead of worthless percentages, the powers that be would find themselves in a much more sticky position

over their attitude toward the subject of Flying Saucers than is at present the case. This is of course why we only get the percentages.

This latest report to come from America is one of the type which make you think the Air Force in America and the Air Ministry in this country MUST know something.

From the "Daily Telegraph" Nov. 4th, 1957 came the following report: Dozens of people in West Texas saw a mysterious object variously described as a big light, and 'something resembling a flying saucer' late last night and early today". The object was said to have cut off the lights and stopped the engines of cars in the vicinity. Among the witnesses was the county sheriff and a lorry driver who drove close up to the "thing" resting by the side of the road. The lorry driver described the object as being 200 feet long, shaped like an egg - he fainted with fright. A statement by the sheriff said: "The switchboard of the police station was swamped with calls from motorists and residents in the area. We just can't figure out what it was. We have three eye witnesses who saw it come right down on the road".

Note. One cannot read all newspapers and periodicals but it has been noticed that the "Daily Telegraph" has on past occasions published some most interesting Saucer news.

BOOKS OF INTEREST

NOW AVAILABLE IN BRITAIN.....

The Expanding Case for UFO by M.K. Jessup,
post free 22/6d.

A FEW COPIES STILL LEFT.....

Truth About F.S. by Aime Michel,
post free 16/3d.

MARKHAM HOUSE PRESS, LTD.,
31 Kings Road,
London, S.W.3.